

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SUSAN MAE POLK,

No. C 08-1483 MMC (PR)

Plaintiff,

v.

DEPUTY JAMES CAVIN, et al.,

Defendants.

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
REQUEST TO FILE MOTION FOR  
RECONSIDERATION OF OCTOBER 15,  
2012 ORDER**

Before the Court is plaintiff's "Request to File Motion for Reconsideration of Court's 10/15/12 Order Denying Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate's 9/13/12 Orders; Request for Extension of Time to File Motion for Reconsideration; Motion to Stay Process," filed November 14, 2012. Having read and considered the request, the Court rules as follows:

To the extent the request seeks leave to file a motion for reconsideration of the Court's October 15, 2012 order, the request is hereby DENIED, for the reason that plaintiff fails to identify a cognizable basis for reconsideration. See Civil L.R. 7-9(b) (setting forth circumstances wherein reconsideration may be appropriate).

In light of said denial, to the extent the request seeks an extension of time to file the above-referenced proposed motion for reconsideration and seeks a stay of all proceedings while the motion for reconsideration is pending, the request is DENIED as moot.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: November 26, 2012

*Maxine M. Chesney*  
MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge